

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,149	07/10/2003	Marc M. Jalisi	ACS 64748 (1331P2D2) 4067		
24201	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
FULWIDE	R PATTON LEE & UT	HO, UYEN T			
HOWARD 1 6060 CENT	HUGHES CENTER ER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TENTH FLO	OOR	3731			
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/618,	149	JALISI, MARC M.	,		
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		(Jackie)	Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (2) D period for reply is specified above, the maximum so tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will. by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>10 July 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	·					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat	Claim(s) 39-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 39-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	are withdrawn from o					
	The specification is objected to by the						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are						
	Applicant may not request that any obj				40474)		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have by documents have be of the priority document Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv tule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stag	 ge		
Attachme	nt(s)						
1) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar				
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/618,149

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 11/10/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. Form 1449 is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 39-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armini (5,919,126). Armini discloses a cylindrical stent including a substrate being made from nitinol, a first cladding layer being chromium, titanium, vanadium, iron, cobalt or nickel and a second cladding layer being radiopaque material as claimed. Although, Armini does not disclose the stent having interconnecting element connecting adjacent cylindrical elements as claimed, in which is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Armini's cylindrical stent by having interconnecting element connecting adjacent cylindrical elements as claimed since applicants has not disclosed that having interconnecting element connecting adjacent cylindrical element connecting adjacent cylindrical elements solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose

Application/Control Number: 10/618,149

Art Unit: 3731

and it appears that the stent would perform equally well in form of tubular mesh or in form as claimed.

- 4. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armini '126 in view of Delfino et al. (6,264,595). Although, Armini does not disclose the transition layer/first cladding layer being stainless steel, attention directed to the Delfino et al. disclose the first cladding layer being stainless steel (col. 8, claim 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use stainless steel as the transition layer or adhesion layer for Armini's stent wherein so doing would amount to mere substitution of one material for an other within the same art that would perform equally well in Armini's stent.
- 5. Claims 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delfino et al. disclose all the limitation of the claims except a stent in form of cylindrical elements connected by interconnecting elements. Delfino et al. suggest the stent can be in any shape (col. 4) and the stent in form of cylindrical elements connected by interconnecting elements are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the stent having the elements as claimed for Delfino et al.'s invention wherein so doing would amount to mere substitution of one stent for an other within the same art that would perform equally well in Delfino et al.'s invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

Application/Control Number: 10/618,149

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

December 10, 2004